

Response to Chevron comments (9/22/03)

“List of Issues in the Chevron August 12, 2003 Draft Title V Permit”

The District has prepared the following responses to the comments contained in this letter.

Each comment consists of 1) a suggestion for action or change, and 2) the argument, if any, supporting the suggestion.

The comments identified by the District have been numbered. Refer to the attached copy of the original comment letter for the comment numbers.

Chevron submitted many comments during the comment period on the first draft permit. The District reviewed all of these comments, and made appropriate changes to the permits. Many suggestions were not accepted. Chevron has resubmitted virtually all of the rejected suggestions as comments on the current draft permit. Suggested change concerning an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft were untimely. The District has again reviewed all of these suggestions, and made appropriate changes to the permits. In many cases, the District again rejected the suggestions, for reasons documented during the first round of review. Detailed analysis has not, however, been presented in this response to comments because the District has focused on responding to timely comments.

	Response
1	No change has been made to the permit. The district has provided Chevron with responses to comments. Chevron had designed the document with a space for the engineers' response.
2	No change has been made to the permit. Suggestion is a policy decision that appears to be beyond the scope of Title V. The Engineering Division does not write Enforcement policies.
3	No change has been made to the permit. Table IIA has been deleted. The comment did not provide sufficient information or analysis to support any additional changes.
4	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
5	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
6	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
7	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: Part 1 of Condition 18137 conflicts with Section I, Part J.2.
8	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
9	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date. Table IIA (All) has been removed from the permit.
10	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
11	See response to number 3.
12	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment. All references to “under investigation” have been removed. The path to resolution will be determining the appropriate throughputs for each source.
13	The change has been made to the permit, based on the argument made in the comment.
14	The change has been made to the permit, based on the argument made in the comment.
15	The change has been made to the permit, based on the argument made in the comment.
16	No change has been made to the permit. These sources have associated NSR permit applications.
17	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
18	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.

19	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.
20	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.
21	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.
22	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
23	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.
24	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.
25	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.
26	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
27	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
28	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.
29	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that modification applications requiring offsets are not NSR applications.
30	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
31	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
32	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including these sources.
33	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
34	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
35	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that application #9231 did not trigger NSR. This application had 15.3 tpy increase in POC emissions triggering both BACT/offsets.
36	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
37	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
38	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
39	The change has been made to the permit, based on the argument made in the comment.
40	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
41	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. Application #9978 triggered BACT/offsets.

42	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. Application #9978 triggered BACT/offsets.
43	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
44	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
45	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
46	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
47	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
48	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
49	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. RLOP added an emission limit (bubble) to several sources including this source.
50	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that this source has triggered NSR.
51	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that this source has triggered NSR.
52	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
53	The change has been made to the permit, based on the argument made in the comment and additional analysis by the District.
54	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
55	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
56	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that implied throughput limits are meaningless. Application #31398 has the implied language that states that throughput information submitted within the application is considered to be the maximum allowable limit. Seventeen years too late for permit appeal. This source is not included in the NSR table.
57	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
58	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that implied throughput limits are meaningless. An application has been submitted to increase throughput at this source. A schedule of compliance has been added to the permit.
59	The change has been made to the permit for S-1653 only, based upon the argument made in the comment. No change was made to the permit regarding other sources since no BACT/offsets.
60	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that S-3076 is in the NSR table.
61	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
62	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
63	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that this source has triggered NSR.
64	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
65	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
66	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
67	The change has been made to the permit for S-4044, based upon the argument made in the comment. The other sources were not changed since NSR was not applicable.
68	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
69	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that these sources have triggered NSR. These sources were subject to BARCT retrofit only (9-10).
70	a. The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. b. No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: the current condition for S-4133 is #16686.

71	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that these sources have triggered NSR. These sources were subject to BARCT retrofit only (9-10).
72	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
73	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
74	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that this source has triggered NSR. This source was subject to BARCT retrofit only (9-10).
75	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that sources permitted in the '80's are grandfathered.
76	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that grandfathered table applies to sources that went through the permit process in 80's and 90's. A/N's 5591 and 31912.
77	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
78	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: these sources have explicit limits and should be moved to Table IIA.1.
79	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
80	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that S-6066 has triggered NSR.
81	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that this source has triggered NSR.
82	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
83	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that S-21 has triggered NSR.
84	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that this source has triggered NSR.
85	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
86	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that this source is not grandfathered. The throughput limit is based on a 1977 data form and the only application to modify this source (#10313) was canceled.
87	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
88	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. Applications have been submitted in order to increase throughput for these sources. A schedule of compliance has been added to the permit.
89	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: the title notations for Table IIA are the same. Table IIA.1 is the NSR source table, Table IIA.2 is the non-NSR, non-grandfathered source table, and Table IIA.3 is the grandfathered source table and are clearly labeled.
90	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
91	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
92	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
93	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
94	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
95	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. VII C.2.1 move to IV.C.2.1
96	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed typo
97	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed S.O.B Note 3
98	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
99	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
100	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Chevron's proposal and basis have been deleted. The units and throughput limits have not been changed since pumping rate is not to be used as a throughput limit per District Regulation 2-1-234.
101	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Chevron's proposal and basis have been deleted. The units and throughput limits have not been changed since pumping rate is not to be used as a throughput limit per District Regulation 2-1-234.
102	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
103	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
104	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.

105	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
106	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
107	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
108	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed typo
109	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that BACT is not federally enforceable.
110	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
111	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
112	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
113	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
114	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
115	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
116	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
117	No change has been made to the permit. Permit condition only limits daily throughput.
118	No change has been made to the permit. Limits imposed as part of Application #9978 which triggered BACT.
119	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
120	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
121	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
122	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that low usage exemption was not included in Table IV.H.3.1.
123	No change has been made to the permit. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct. Detailed analysis has not, however, been prepared because the District has focused on responding to timely comments. Management had previously requested that the engineers not change/increase any throughputs or capacities. The district has already increased the throughput by 5% to correspond with a heating value greater than 1100 Btu/scf.
124	No change has been made to the permit. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct. Detailed analysis has not, however, been prepared because the District has focused on responding to timely comments. Management had previously requested that the engineers not change/increase any throughputs or capacities. The district has already increased the throughput by 5% to correspond with a heating value greater than 1100 Btu/scf.
125	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
126	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed
127	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
128	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
129	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
130	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. C changed to P/E
131	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
132	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Limit changed to Part 11
133	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
134	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
135	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed
136	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed
137	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
138	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
139	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
140	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: 200 ppm CO is not a limit.
141	No change has been made to the permit. The proposed change reduces source/abatement clarity.
142	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
143	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Condition #18387 added
144	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.

145	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Corrected
146	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Corrected
147	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. No limit. Limit removed
148	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Condition #469 does not apply.
149	No argument supporting a suggested change is made. Removing "SIP" reference is not necessary if citation is SIP approved. Comment appears to be more of a formatting issue.
150	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
151	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Deleted from VII C.3.1
152	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed
153	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Changes made
154	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date. Keep regulatory options in permit.
155	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
156	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
157	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit EXCEPT Regulation 9-1.
158	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
159	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
160	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
161	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
162	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
163	No change has been made to the permit. Format is fine.
164	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit EXCEPT Regulation 9-1
165	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
166	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
167	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
168	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
169	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
170	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
171	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
172	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment. The district has added the requested flares to the table and part 60 subpart J.
173	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment. The district has added part 60 subpart J exemption.
174	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
175	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
176	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.

177	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
178	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
179	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days.
180	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
181	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days. The district will be addressing NOx Box issues at that time.
182	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
183	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
184	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
185	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
186	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
187	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
188	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
189	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
190	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
191	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Part of comment is factually incorrect.
192	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
193	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
194	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
195	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. 9-10 is federally enforceable. Therefore, no asterisks were removed from those sections.
196	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that Reg.9-10 is not federally enforceable.
197	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that Reg.9-10 is not federally enforceable.
198	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that Reg.9-10 is not federally enforceable.
199	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
200	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Regulation 9-10's monitoring is not federally enforceable. The firing rate limits are not federally enforceable.
201	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit, but not as suggested in comment since the comment is dated.
202	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.

203	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
204	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
205	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Regulation 9-10's monitoring is not federally enforceable. The firing rate limits are not federally enforceable.
206	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
207	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Regulation 9-10's monitoring is not federally enforceable. The firing rate limits are not federally enforceable.
208	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
209	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
210	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days. The district will be addressing NOx Box issues at that time.
211	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days. This district will be addressing NOx Box issues at that time.
212	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date. If the district does not test these CEM sources Chevron is responsible to conduct these tests.
213	The change has been made to the permit. Condition 19586.5 has been changed to require semi-annual source tests.
214	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
215	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
216	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
217	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
218	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
219	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
220	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
221	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
222	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
223	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
224	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
225	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
226	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
227	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
228	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.

229	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Section VI changed. Limits in A/N 19297 and condition # 16698 are now equivalent.
230	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
231	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
232	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Regulation 9-10's monitoring is not federally enforceable. The firing rate limits are not federally enforceable.
233	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
234	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Regulation 9-10's monitoring is not federally enforceable. The firing rate limits are not federally enforceable.
235	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Condition # 16731 updated in Section VI
236	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
237	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Regulation 9-10's monitoring is not federally enforceable. The firing rate limits are not federally enforceable.
238	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Old condition removed
239	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
240	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Added * in Section VI
241	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Added * in section VI
242	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that Reg.9-10 is not federally enforceable.
243	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
244	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
245	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
246	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
247	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
248	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
249	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: Condition #18387 does not have a part 11. This has been corrected in the final permit.
250	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Regulation 9-10's monitoring is not federally enforceable. The firing rate limits are not federally enforceable.
251	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
252	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
253	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Regulation 9-10's monitoring is not federally enforceable. The firing rate limits are not federally enforceable.
254	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
255	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
256	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
257	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.

258	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
259	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. # 18420 deleted in Section VI
260	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
261	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
262	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days. This district will be addressing NOx Box issues at that time.
263	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
264	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. No need to change at this time
265	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Section 9-10-305 does not apply to low usage sources.
266	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days. This district will be addressing NOx Box issues at that time. District must review tests prior to acceptance or use of test data.
267	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
268	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
269	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
270	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. SOB Changed
271	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
272	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
273	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
274	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: monitoring citations need to be in Applicable Requirement tables.
275	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
276	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: monitoring citations need to be in Applicable Requirement tables.
277	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. The district databank lists these sources as being subject to condition #469.
278	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
279	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
280	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
281	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
282	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
283	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
284	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
285	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
286	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.

287	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
288	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
289	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
290	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
291	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
292	No change has been made to the permit. There was no comment. There is a blank entry on the comment spreadsheet.
293	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
294	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
295	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
296	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
297	No change was made regarding the opacity monitor since no permit condition requires it. Note 5 has been corrected.
298	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Note 5a created for abrasive blasting
299	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
300	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
301	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed typo
302	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
303	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
304	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
305	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
306	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
307	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
308	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
309	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
310	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
311	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
312	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
313	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
314	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
315	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
316	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.

317	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
318	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
319	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
320	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
321	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
322	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
323	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
324	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
325	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
326	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
327	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
328	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
329	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. VII C.2.1 move to IV.C.2.1
330	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed typo
331	The change has been made in the permit and the new application submittal date is 10/11/4.
332	The change has been made in the final permit. The citation has been corrected.
333	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed S.O.B Note 3
334	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
335	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
336	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
337	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Not federally enforceable, all cum. inc.
338	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
339	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
340	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
341	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
342	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
343	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
344	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
345	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
346	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
347	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
348	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.

[illegible]

378	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
379	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
380	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
381	No change has been made to the permit. The argument supporting a suggested change does not provide sufficient information or analysis to support the change
382	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment with the exception of sections that still apply such as 502.
383	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
384	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
385	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
386	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment with the exception of sections that still apply such as 502.
387	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
388	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed typo
389	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
390	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
391	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
392	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
393	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
394	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
395	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
396	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
397	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that BACT is not federally enforceable.
398	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
399	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
400	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
401	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
402	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
403	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
404	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
405	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.

[illegible]

438	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
439	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
440	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
441	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
442	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
443	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
444	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
445	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
446	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
447	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that low usage exemption was not included in Table IV.H.3.1.
448	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
449	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
450	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
451	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
452	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
453	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
454	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
455	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
456	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed Typo
457	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment except where condition references were incorrect.
458	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date. This condition is standard and is in every refinery title V permit.
459	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
460	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
461	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed
462	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
463	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
464	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
465	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
466	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
467	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. C changed to P/E

468	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
469	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
470	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
471	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
472	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Limit changed to Part 11
473	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
474	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment. The district has referenced the CO CEM instead.
475	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days.
476	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
477	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days.
478	The change has not been made in the permit, but has been included in a proposed permit revision that will be circulated for public comment within 30 days.
479	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed
480	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed
481	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date.
482	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
483	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment. Condition #18656 is the current condition #.
484	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
485	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
486	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
487	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Deleted
488	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
489	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Accepted
490	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: 200 ppm CO is not a limit.
491	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
492	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
493	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
494	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
495	No change has been made to the permit. Condition #469 is federally enforceable since it was established as a result of a NSR application.
496	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
497	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
498	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
499	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
500	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.

501	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
502	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
503	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
504	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
505	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
506	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
507	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
508	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
509	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Condition #18387 added
510	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that condition #469 was not a result of BACT/NSR.
511	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
512	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that condition #469 was not a result of BACT/NSR.
513	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
514	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
515	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
516	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment with the exception of S-7501, which is still subject to Section 9-8-330 since it is greater than 250 hp and an emergency standby engine.
517	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Corrected
518	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Corrected
519	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. No limit. Limit removed
520	No change has been made to the permit. Regulation 6-305 is applicable to combustion sources. Sources not emitting visible emissions beyond Chevron's property line are considered to be complying.
521	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
522	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
523	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Condition #469 does not apply.
524	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
525	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
526	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
527	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
528	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
529	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that Condition #469 does not apply.
530	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
531	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date. Title V allows the addition of increased monitoring.

532	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
533	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
534	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
535	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
536	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
537	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
538	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
539	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date. Condition changes should be made through standard applications and not the Title V permit.
540	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Deleted from VII C.3.1
541	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Fixed
542	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
543	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
544	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
545	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
546	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
547	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
548	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
549	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
550	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
551	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
552	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
553	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
554	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
555	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
556	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
557	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
558	No change has been made to the permit. SRU's are included in the refinery cap that requires monthly emissions reports to be submitted to the district, therefore monitoring exists as a result of Condition 469 and the citation in Table VII is warranted.
559	No change has been made to the permit. The District will review the issues raised by the comment, and will take appropriate steps at a later date.
560	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
561	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
562	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumption: that the H2S monitoring was added as a result of Title V. It was not. It was added as part of A/N 1986.
563	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
564	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that condition #20773 does not exist.

565	No change has been made to the permit. The suggestion is based on the following incorrect assumptions: that condition #20773 does not exist.
566	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
567	The change has been made to the permit, based upon the argument made in the comment.
568	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
569	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit. Changes made.
570	The suggested change concerns an issue beyond the scope of the revisions made to the earlier draft, and is therefore untimely. The District has reviewed the comment, and does not consider it to be correct.
571	No change has been made to the permit. The District will consider incorporating the suggestion at a later date. Keep regulatory options in permit.